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Abstract

Satire is a technique that laughs at particular people or objects in order to point out problems in an attempt to bring about change. Politicians, social structures and narrow-minded or biased public opinions and systems are the most common targets of satirical compositions. Satirists (people who compose satire) often use techniques such as irony, exaggeration, sarcasm (Antonym), metaphors and sketches in order to ridicule their subjects.

The paper focuses on Bassem Youssef's show Al Bernameg (The Program), which regularly criticizes public figures. It has become a sensation after it was launched online in the aftermath of Egypt's revolution. Political humor excited the protests in gaining new momentum, with a new generation of satirists using comedy to speak truth to power. Bassem Youssef used the clips to create a mock Arabic newscast posted on YouTube, homage to Comedy Central's "The Daily Show" of Jon Stewart. Al Bernameg of Bassem Youssef is similar to The Daily Show of Jon Stewart in merging entertainment with news. It is notably news satire format and the talk show format.

This paper tries to answer many questions: whether this political satirical talk show will help flourish a new genre in Egyptian media? And what exactly is the political content of the program? Many theories as critical discourse analysis approach of Fairclough (2003), Grice’s conversation maxime (1975), and Entman’s framing of media effects (2004) are used in the satirical analysis of the texts of "Al Bernameg".

1. Introduction

Satire acts as a “relief theory” model of humor in periods of political crisis. There is a multi-functional characteristic of satirical discourse or TV programs when it is considered as a model of humor. In this case humor acts as a social lubricant, venturing ice-breaking goodwill and demonstrating ability to laugh. Humor may act also as a “fire-grained social sandpaper”, because comedy makes frankness less threatening and conveys criticism less contentiously.

(Basu 1999:390-394).

Satirical discourse suffuses the general humor resources of modern societies and cultures. It is not an alien form of humor, not something remote from everyday social interaction, but is much part of the communicative competence of adult participants as puns, jokes and funny stories.

This paper traces the works of linguists, psychologists, sociologists, philosophers and media experts to analyze several satirical texts of TV programs to pick out the satirical techniques as exaggeration, incongruity, parody and reversal and prove how much they influence the attitudes of the people within a social system. Framing theory of Entman (2004) is highlighted in this study to show that the framing has been particularly useful in understanding the media role in political life especially in case of crises. (1)

In Egyptian media, many satirical TV programs appeared lately due to the rising of depression and chaos among all society categories as a result of increase of violence, suppression and dissatisfaction with the ruling system after high aspirations resulting from the January revolution 2011.

1.1. Objective of study

This research paper seeks to study special discourse and pragmatic features that characterize this popular form of contemporary humorous discourse as is manifest in several satirical TV programs as Bassem Youssef's "Al Bernameg" “Hany Ramzy” (El Lila ma3a Hany) “Azab Show and others. The focus in this analysis is on the content and language of one of these programs Bassem Youssef “Al Bernameg” and to assess the degree of effectiveness of satirical techniques on the target audience. Therefore the satirical and linguistic tools used in these programs are measured and analyzed. Discourse or text of these programs is understood in this instance as a level of language organization that arises out of the interaction between text and context.

This paper tends to answer the following questions:
- What is the role of language in political satirical TV programs?
- What are the most effective and persuasive satirical techniques used in such programs?
- Is satirical discourse a discursive practice?
- How can we assess the degree of persuasiveness of a satirical discourse?
- What is the role of satirical discourse during political turmoil?
- What is the effect of framing theory on the attitudes of audience?

1.2. Review of literature

Simpson (2003) argued that:
“Satirical discourse is a discursive practice as embodying three subject positions which are the satirist (producer of a text), the satire (an addressee whether reader, viewer or listener) and the satirized (the
target attacked or criticized in the satirical discourse. Two of these participants (the satirist and the satire) are ratified within the discursive event. However, the third entity, the target, is ex-colluded discourse participant who may be a person or an idea or object.

Simpson (2003) elaborated that:

"A satirical text can function, in terms of its linguistic properties, as an instantiation of a discourse prime. The prime in this sense is an "ethical" utterance to the extent that it is predicated on someone else's discourse, but over which ironic distance is placed through the re-positioning of the ostensible speaking source of the text. Several of the compositional techniques used in satirical can be analogical to metaphor and metonymy. Connections between the features of discourse that expand the prime on the one hand and the dialectic on the other are often established, embellished and sustained by puns and other forms of word-play. These two bivalent lexico-grammatical devices help in binding together the two colliding elements of textual patterning which are referred to as stylistic hooks. It is the dissonance between the domains of prime and dialectic which creates an interpretive-pragmatic framework for satire and brings about style-shift necessary to place the viewer or listener on a satirical footing."

As Alberthi (2003) suggests that satire is a critical but humorous commentary on the social pressure to follow traditional values that define what it means to be part of mainstream culture in a society. By challenging what is acceptable, satire carries out what French historian and philosopher Michel Foucault calls resistance (Rubinow, 1984).

For Foucault (1990), resistance calls into question what is accepted as correct, and highlights what has been declared off limits by society, religion, education, government, etc. as inappropriate, unacceptable, wrong, abnormal, or false (Pickett 2006). In other words, satire is a form of resistance. Within Foucault's framework, resistance seeks to undermine power, which is the overall objective of satire (Pickett, 2006).

It is in this unique role that satire can function as a means of fighting back against the pressures of society, laws, and institutions, and makes satire a noteworthy form of communication that can be explored further. The humorous nature of satirical works, such as Bassem Yousef's "Al Bernameg", enable people to easily participate in the small act of resistance that is created when criticism provokes laughter, reminding people that alternatives can be created and possibly providing a spark of inspiration for a better future. In this sense as resistance, the satire of Bassem Yousef's "Al Bernameg" carries on the longstanding desire for freedom that has marked the use of satire by giving a voice to the discourses that have been put down and silenced by society, politics, and culture. Although Bassem Yousef's "Al Bernameg", is certainly good for laughs, the satirical discourses in the film also present a clear example of Foucault's concepts of power and resistance that can help non-Westerners understand these important ideas from Foucault have influenced Western academic research for more than thirty years. Thus, the objectives of this study were to identify the satirical discourses present in the film, and then demonstrate how those discourses illustrate Foucault's concepts of power and resistance.

II. Theoretical framework
The emphasis across the discipline of humorous or satirical discourse is based on studies of language and mass communication.

II.1. Grice's Cooperative Principle of conversation analysis
In social science generally and linguistics specifically, the cooperative principle describes how people interact with one another.

As phrased by Grice (1975), who introduced it, it states:
"Make your contribution such as it is required, at the stage at which it occurs, by the accepted purpose or direction of the talk exchange in which you are engaged."

Though phrased as a prescriptive command, the principle is intended as a description of how people normally behave in conversation.

Listeners and speakers must speak cooperatively and mutually accept one another to be understood in a particular way. The cooperative principle describes how effective communication in conversation is achieved in common social situations.

The cooperative principle can be divided into four maxims, called the Gricean maxims, describing specific rational principles observed by people who obey the cooperative principle; these principles enable effective communication. Grice proposed four conversational maxims that arise from the pragmatics of natural language. The Gricean Maxims are a way to explain the link between utterances and what is understood from them. (2)

II.2. Foucauldian Epistemology of Resistance (1990)
Satirical programs in TV are considered a kind of resistance against social or unfair use of power during political turmoil and state absence as well as ignorance of people's rights. Therefore I found the theory of resistance and power of Foucault (1990) is applicable on my study of the program discourse or text of such type of TV programs that appeared and flourished lately in Egypt.

Foucault(1990) said that there is interrelation between power and resistance and struggles of mobs to fight dictatorship rules must be traced in reviewing of satirical political talk shows as an indicator of their success in their war against injustice and over use of power. Such programs create a unique kind of bond between the satirist and the audience. When a joke fails, listeners usually feel like rejecting the joke teller and often do. Therefore the language used in the program together with the novelty of the satirical techniques participates in the success of the program.

'Texts, language, communication should therefore always be considered in their social context, they both shape and are informed by wider processes within society. In this manner texts do not merely passively report upon the world, but they imbue it with meaning, fabricate it, shape per-
spectives and call the world into being. The broad term discourse can be employed in these circumstances as it refers to the various ways in which communication between people is achieved. Discourse can be considered as an 'active relation to reality' (Fairclough 1992: 41). Fairclough (2003: 26) has delineated three characteristics of discourse which describe its operation within social life, as 'part of the action.' These are:

- Styles (ways of being) (3)
- Discourses (ways of representing)
- Géres (ways of acting)

Fairclough (1995: 132) argued that in order to study a conversational text in the field of media, the researcher needs:

'to systematically explore often opaque relationships of causality and determination between (a) discursive practices, events and texts, and (b) wider social and cultural structures, relations and processes; to investigate how such practices, events and texts arise out of and are ideologically shaped by relations of power and struggles over power.'

In my study, it is noticeable that certain discourses are stronger in effect on audience than others. One of these effective discourses is the satirical discourse of such political TV programs.

II.4. Framing of media effects

This paper focuses on a review of the meaning of the concept of framing, approaches to studying framing, and the effects of framing on public opinion. After defining framing and framing effects, I articulate a method for identifying frames in communication and a psychological model for understanding how such frames affect public opinion. It also discusses the relationship between framing and priming, outline future research directions, and describe the normative implications of framing.

Entman (2004) argued that:

"There are two classes of framing, substantive and procedural. Substantive frames perform at least two of the following basic functions in covering political events, issues, and actors:

- Defining effects or conditions as problematic.
- Identifying causes.
- Conveying a moral judgment.
- Endorsing remedies or improvements.

III. Methodological Framework

III.1. Data collection

I downloaded five episodes of Bassem Yousef’s "Al Bernameg" from Youtube. I chose these episodes because they were so effective on the public and included satirical discourses that can be analyzed in this paper. The study focused on assessing how satire functions as resistance to power by looking at the forms and techniques of satire that were used to deal with the discourses in the program.

III.2. Data analysis

This study is divided into two levels of analysis: a survey of all the most effective linguistic techniques used in the satirical political talk show "Al Bernamег " by Bassem yousef on one level and on the second, it presents an assessment of the degree of persuasiveness of the satirical techniques used other than language in the program. The research also puts in frames the words and images used in the program other than the rest of news to evaluate their capacity to stimulate either support or opposition from the side of the target audience of such show. This capacity can be measured by cultural resonance and magnitude. The satirist in this case uses words and images highly salient in the culture, which I can say noticeable, memorable, understandable, and emotionally charged. The more resonance and magnitude, the more likely the framing is to evoke similar thoughts and feelings in large portions of audience. The paper reviews the criteria through which this type of program moved from being a YouTube format to an on-air live most popular talk show not only in Egypt but in all over the Arab world.

Bassem Yousef is a media phenomenon in Egypt. His Youtube show remains the highest viewed in Egypt, with well over 33 million views, and it’s the first and only Arabic YouTube channel in the Middle East with eight official commercial ads. But with the steep financial investment that the new format will demand, Yousef is unsure whether he will stay on air talk show after the end of the political crisis that Egypt witnesses and will this new TV format survive hereafter or not.

III.3. Audience Research

I conducted an audience research on a random sample of 200 people of different social and cultural levels to assess the role of Political Satirical TV Programs on different categories of society during the political crises and to allocate which is more effective technique or persuasion: the linguistic factor or the satirical techniques as well as the frame or degree of effectiveness on the society behavior or attitude.

Operational definition of variables

Table One

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Satirical Program</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1- Bassem Yousef’s “Al Bernameg”</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- Hany Ramzy’s ”Al Lila maLa Haay”</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3- Azab Show</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4- Ibrahim Ela’s “Huuna Al Qalerea”</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measuring the most effective technique in such satirical programs</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1- Anchor’s language and performance.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- Puns and jokes.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3- Comic video clips from other channels.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4- Ministry of Officials and President.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5- Funny clips mocking government.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table Three
The measurability of the role of political satirical programs during political crises.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role of Political Satirical Programs during this political crisis</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1- A break for suppressed people.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- Unveiling the errors of government and presidency.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3- Expression of public discontent and a call for change from the part of government.</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4- Only for entertainment.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Four
The measurability of continuity of such programs after the end of political crises.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreement of the continuity of such type of programs after political crises</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1- yes</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- No</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>zero</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Five
The prefer ability of watching such programs than normal talk shows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefer ability of watching such satirical programs than normal talk shows</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1- yes</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- No</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results
- In table one, it was proved that the majority of age categories in the Egyptian society watch Bassem Youssef's "Al Ber-

unnamed (85%) while the rest of my sample (15%) watch other satirical programs which means that the general appetite now in the case of political crisis and economic recess is to like such type of programs.

- In table two, it is clear that language of the satirist plays a vital role in affecting and influencing the target audience, and then comes the comic video clips from other TV channels which helps in enhancing the degree of persuasion and confirms the reality of such error in the performance of either the President or the government. The mimcry of government officials and the President is considered a way of making fun of their wrong acts and weird as well as unacceptable behavior by the civil associations as if it is a watch dog of their activities.

- In table three, the role of political satirical programs during the state of political chaos and disorder as well as absence of the state or government is clear if the reasons behind are prove. It's shown through the survey of the viewpoints of the audience of different social levels age and gender that such programs are influential as a means of expression of public discontent and a call for change from the part of government (90%). However, 75% of the target audience considered them as a way of unveiling the errors of government and presidency. Only 7.5% of the sample are watching such programs for entertainment only which means or it is an indicator of the increase in the rate of awareness of the mobs even the ordinary people.

- In table four, a very significant indicator is detected which is the fact that such satirical programs became a new trend in the Egyptian as well as Arab media so 100% of the sample agreed that these programs will continue after this political crisis is over. They have become an effective technique of expression of discontent and suppression. It is a method of flagrant unveiling of the whole truth of the regime and mobilization of the emotions of the nations towards revolting and concrete action on the ground.

- In table five, 90% of the sample proved that they prefer to watch such satirical political programs than the ordinary or normal talk shows which became boring and dull due to the fact that they repeat the same scenes of anger and discontent of the mobs in a useless process of protests and repeated guests who reiterate the same words in every TV channel without reaching any resolution or consensus on a solution by all concerned parties.

IV. Discussion

Discourse framework (1)
"Al Bermaneg" (The Program), currently in its second season is credited with changing the landscape of media and comedy in Egypt. Its frequent contribution to important public questions, Al Bermaneg's brand has become one of the country's most recognizable faces.

"Al Bermaneg" follows the model laid out by The Dally Show with Jon Stewart which has broken new ground in the Middle East with its penchant for mocking political figures and pointing out the flaws and hypocrisy of news outlets.

'Sarcasm empowers people, so that they can speak up against authority, whether they are a man of the state or a man of the cloth," Youssef says.

It's difficult to overstate the impact the show has had in its relatively short lifespan. But it's safe to say that nothing like it has ever been seen on Arab television before. Youssef's second season has so far taken potshots at the elected Islamist government and the increasing polarization in Egypt's society, which Youssef believes is partially fueled by the rise of religious satellite channels. "Al Bermaneg" has carved out a role for itself in Egypt's media landscape as a kind of gatekeeper, quick to reprimand shows for propagating deceit and fear-mongering.

In the "Al Bermaneg" studio, nothing is sacred, not even the president. By directing satire at the man himself - unthinkable during the Mubarak era - the show is taking full advantage of the hard won freedom of expression gained after the 2011 uprising when the so-called 'fear barrier' was broken.

If the theory of framing of Entman (2004) is applied on any Bassem Youssef's episodes, it is proved that it is substantive frame as it defines the errors of the criticized object or person and sets remedies of the problematic issue through the satirical techniques expressed in the pro-
gram. Therefore, procedural framing suggests evaluations of political actors’ legitimacy, based on their technique, success, and representativeness.

Yousef told his audience in the opening segment, taped on that night that deadly clashes raged outside the presidential palace:

“What is happening to the people on the streets, and the deaths from both sides, are the responsibility of the person who says he is a president for the whole country.”

What happens to the lives of the people, Yousef pointed out, is what happens to the outcomes of elections in which people vote for a candidate. By failing to address the needs of the people, the government is neglecting its responsibility to protect and serve its citizens.

Media discourse is a key public domain of language use for the sociology of language.

Also, Bassem makes fun of recent calls for civil disobedience by the president. The president is mentioned as a call for such steps should be born from the people’s will to express their opinions through peaceful means, and not because the movement is bullying people to do so.

Morsi said: "I plan to visit Port Said soon,"

Morsi: "Am I a zooor boor sa’eed gariban.

Demonstrators in Port Said have been conducting an ongoing campaign of civil disobedience, which has led to the closure of most of the city’s primary institutions - including companies, factories, official authorities (including the local customs authority) and the East Port Said maritime port - for eight consecutive days.

"President Morsi further added that: I am not considering resigning because I am determined to carry out the responsibility handed to me by the people to the end of my four-year term. I will continue and will not abandon this responsibility. I accuse the revolution's 'enemies' of not wanting to see Egypt flourish."

The president Morsi added, "I have made a decision to resign in the interest of Egypt, and I will not be the one to bring about the end of democracy."

"al ra’ees morsi adaaf : ama mosh ba’fakar fi il “stiqala la’ni sawf a’ta7amal al mas’uliya al molqah 3ala 5atiq mia qubl al sha’sb ma3a nihayat fa’trat 7km wa liyya al ‘arba3 seneen , ana sawf a’stam wa lan a’tok mansabi wa a’tahim A’3da” al saqra bi3adam ra3”bathom fi “izdihar mirt."

It’s no secret that ‘Al Bernamg’ models itself on The Daily Show with Jon Stewart; in fact Yousef himself appeared as a guest on The Daily Show earlier this year and said in an interview on Tuesday, 5th March 2013 Al Arabia news:

‘Jon Stewart is my idol.’ The new season takes its cues from Stewart’s satirical swipes at Fox News coverage and the trademark segments using politicians’ own words against them to show contradiction. The show is also taped in front of a live studio audience, a rarity in Egyptian TV."

In a previous episode, which was abruptly postponed due to the unrest but is available on “Al Bernamg’s” YouTube channel, Yousef devotes most of the show to a brutally funny take-down of Morsi, describing the president as ‘Morsi the uniter of powers.’ It is a reference to the Pharaoh Mina ‘the uniter of the two realms’ who united Upper and Lower Egypt to create one kingdom in the year 3200 BC. The joke being that Egypt has become bitterly divided under Morsi’s rule. A mock-up of the president in full King Tut regalia completes the pun.

Using a variety of montages, graphics, sound effects and props, the half-hour segment - which has yet to be broadcast on TV - makes frequent use of words like dictator, pharaoh, king, and absolute power.

The show also makes fun of a number of speeches made by the president, calling him ‘Super Morsi.’ The super-hero title is superimposed on an image of the president holding his jacket wide open in front of crowds in Tahrir, a famous gesture shortly after his election intended to show his fearlessness of appearing in public without a bullet proof vest.

One segment features a series of statements by Morsi cross-cut with similar statements from ousted president Hosni Mubarak. The suspended episode also uses clips from Morsi’s TV interviews during the election campaign. When asked how he would respond if, after being elected, people went out and marched against him, Morsi answered:

“This will not happen, because the president, if that’s me, will act in accordance with the will of the people. And if I fail to do so, I will be the first to go down and follow the will of the people.”
In another clip Morsi is seen saying:

"I want the people to rise up against me if I don’t respect the law or the constitution."

The show does not spare non-Islamists, liberals and revolutionaries from its sharp-witted ridicule. The opposition protesters demonstrating against president Morsi’s decrees are portrayed as lightweights more concerned with personal hygiene and getting a fresh supply of underwear than hard core protest.

As for the counter rally staged in support of the president’s decisions - which called for the implementation of Islamic Sharia - Youssuf mocked the spin doctors talking up the numbers:

"In my personal assessment, I think there were 3 or 4 million people, one commentator says in a sound bite. At least 2 million and our brothers say 5 million so on average 3.5 million at least, another says. They say more than six figures, a sheikh tells his TV audience. At which point Youssuf launches into an auctioneer’s voice, gavel in hand, saying ‘I hear 7 million, who has 7 million? Do I hear 7.5 million? You sit, in the back, 8 million, thank you, 9 million, who has 10 million?’

Youssuf’s colleagues aren’t immune either. In his opening monologue on the season premiere, he took aim at his fellow hosts who share the airwaves on CBC TV, making fun of some of the most well known faces in Egyptian TV. He also made a point of poking fun at himself for jumping ship from the left leaning On TV, seen as pro-revolution, to the CBC channel, perceived by some to represent the ‘fe-lool’ or old regime viewpoint. Bags of cash with dollar signs and other props were used on set to mock his fat paycheck.

And in the charged political environment, his parting shot was:

"To those who think they live in this country alone, wake up. To those who think this is a numbers game, wake up. To those who think Egypt will be ruled by one group, wake up. To those who think Egypt is a young child in need of guidance, wake up."

**Discourse framework (2)**

In one of the episodes, host Bassem Yousef holds a red, furry pillow with Morsi’s image embroidered on it. Bassem said:

"The president understands us. He understands us better than we understand ourselves. He tells us things we never knew," before cutting to clips of Morsi’s long, detailed speeches. Youssuf shouts to the camera:

"It’s October 6! Tell us when it’s Christmas!"

Yousef borrows Stewart’s fondness for showing photos and video clips to underscore his punchlines.

At one point, he flashes an image of Morsi to his right and says:

"NO, not this guy! We don’t want to piss him off."

**Discourse framework (3)**

If Fairclough (2003)’s approach of critical discourse analysis is applied on the following text of the introduction of the program, it is found that the words chosen are "satirical, funny, unreal, non-objective, unbiased" to summarize the main objective of the program and prepare the audience for what is coming or the different segments of the episode. It is a highly effective technique to attract the audience by the most effective language which is using some words that contradicts the real theme of the program “unbiased-unreal-non-objective” which are true if the audience followed the program. The opening of the program is very attractive by being interactive and lives as well as the uniqueness of the ideas used. You cannot predict or expect the techniques of presenting any idea either through linguistic tools or satirical videos or comic clips or mimicry.

The introduction of “Al Bemameg “is stable in all the episodes of the program.

This program is satirical, funny, unreal, none-objective, unbiased, and all the included events are imaginative and related to reality absolutely. There is no similarity between any event in the program and real life. It is firmer than reality. Oh! I forgot to tell you, this program is for grownups only due to the fact that it includes an unsuitable content to some age categories. So, anyone who is shy, he has to leave the screen and go. Have you moved or not yet?"

The idea that the scriptwriter of the program writes that:

“This program is for grownups only due to the fact that it includes an unsuitable content to some age categories. The sentence gives the impression that the team of the program challenge you as an audience to prove that it is going to present something serious and not for under age. The intention here is indirect as it implies that the content includes many unsuitable segments for under age due to the
degree of freedom of expression that will be expected to be in such program. It is something we have not been used to in our media. Therefore, the following sentence came to be:

"So, anyone who is shy, he has to leave the screen and go ...Have you moved or not yet?"

It is an indication of the mystery that is awaiting those who will due to proceed watching the content of the program until the end. This is also a new trend or technique of presenting different problematic issues of the satirical programs by defying the audience to watch.

Bassem uses rhetorical questions in a satirical way as in the following example:

"هل نيئة المسلمين بإيديتهم حرام أم مثلاً؟ hal tahi\"ut al masue7e7een f\" 3eedohom 7aram am 7a7al?"

"Is congratulating the Christians in their feasts accepted religiously or not?"

Foucault (1990) emphasized the existence of a unique relationship among different parties of resistance as between the Muslims and Christians as well as people and power so if this technique is applied here through the linguistic technique of using rhetorical questions to arouse the curiosity of the audience of the vague issue or controversial case as the congratulation of Moslems to Christians in their feasts and the legitimacy of such a habit. This question may also imply a moral judgment of such an act.

The satirist Bassem Youssef uses many satirical techniques as the play on words or metonymy by saying "Balbala" then saying "I do not have ballal" when he mentioned he is accused by some lawyers of Moslem brother of humiliating religion and the State by mocking religious people and the President. They claim that all his satirical acts cause chaos or distortion which is "balballa" and Bassem played on the word by saying "I am always dry not wet "balballa" as I am always wearing Pampers."

The episodes of "Al Bernamegc" are characterized by discursiveness of topics as Bassem Youssef moves from one issue to another in a funny or sarcastic techniques using different types of satirical methods as logos, caricature and funny pictures together with high performance of the program team. Grice (1975) is concerned with this distinction between saying and meaning. How do speakers know how to generate these implicit meanings, and how can they assume that their addressees will reliably understand their intended meaning? His aim is to discover the mechanism behind this process. Speakers can convey their intentions by a limitless number of utterances; it is up to the hearer to calculate the speaker's intention. The following segment is an accurate example of the cooperative theory of Grice as there is an indirect intention about saying such conversation so as to give a certain message which is that Dr Essam El Erian is holding all these official posts which is the same ruling method of the old regime and to give the impression of how much influential he is.

In one of the episodes (13):

"يا مسأله، هناك اختلاف في أنتم ضد الآخرين أو ""تشذيبهم عليهم، و كأنهم كاببو، و اثارة، اننا نحن ضد الآخرين على طول، ومعظمهم أفراد شبههم من الآخرين." "موسيقا تنفرج عليه مصيحة للعديد، عنصري معروف بها عشرات الآلاف، نسيء معهم، واذا إننا نحن كلنا نكون معهم، فقط ان يكون هو مع مع، تجربة patches تنفرج عليه مصيحة للعديد.

Member of the constitution committee.
An interview with Dr. Essam El Erian with the anchor Mahmoud Saad.
Mahmoud Saad: Without any say. It is enough to say Essam El Erian.
Bassem Yousef points to Photographic photo of Essam El Erian and mentions his name.
Bassem: God save him for having many posts. He is only missing two posts to be a couch. Bassem points to the photo of the famous actor Chassan Mattar."

Discourse (4)

In another episode, Bassem talks about motherhood and gives a unique metaphor of the government saying:
"Bassem: We are the sons of the government... all its resolutions for our welfare. They are sharing our fears. They fear for our benefits... Mama... I mean the government of Hisham Qandil that by cutting the electricity, we will sleep early every night."

This segment is followed by different videos from various Satellite channels to comment on what Bassem said earlier.

"Khairy Ramadan (a famous Egyptian anchor at CBC) said: They (government officials) will make secret rooms for us." Bassem moves into another segment of his program to talk about the loaf of bread of the government. He presents different commentsaries from various TV channels.

"Mahmoud Saad (Famous anchor in Al Nahlar TV says: Every one must eat three loaves of bread every day.

A magnified photo of a very huge loaf of bread appeared in Bassem's hand as an indicator of the new idea of an Islamic loaf of bread "halal" as it was suggested like everything in the state by the Moslem Brothers to solve the bread problem.

A video clip follows of an Islamic TV Channel saying:
"There is an Islamic baking powder ready made by the Moslem Brothers to solve all the economic problems in Egypt."

Discourse (5)

In the episode aired on the 1ST March 2013, all what we want say or speculate on what's going on Egypt was said. In one of the recorded video clips of the President Mohamed Morsi, the fifth in rule, the first elected president after the January revolution, addressing the nation and beside him stands the spiritual leading figure of the Moslem Brothers Dr. Mohamed Badie spelling him out the word that he wants Morsi to say "retribution". In a very sarcastic tone Bassem commented after this clip on the funny and satirical situation.

By unleashing a deluge of satire on Egypt’s leaders - to great comic effect - Yousef is not only making light of the situation but also openly questioning authority and holding elected officials to account.

V. Conclusion

For a brief moment on every Friday night, Egyptians got some relief from the ongoing political crisis tearing the country apart by watching Bassem Yousef's satirical comic news show "Al Bernameg" (The Program).

For all the laughs, the latest episode ended on a serious note. After spending more than an hour making light of the deep divisions in Egyptian society, Yousef told his viewers: "We are not the ones who turned this into us versus them; we are not the ones who turned a political conflict into a battle between heaven and hell. It is others who have turned this into Muslims versus infidels."

And in the charged political environment, his parting shot was: "To those who think they live in this country alone, wake up. To those who think this is a numbers game, wake up. To those who think Egypt will be ruled by one group, wake up. To those who think Egypt is a young child in need of guidance, wake up."

Creating satire is no easy task, and the El Bernameg team dedicates long hours to researching, archiving and locating patterns in the media footage that they monitor.

Forming an understanding of how satire is used to convey a message, this study also contributes to a deeper level of understanding of language and culture needed for effective communication. By exploring examples of Foucault's concept of power in "Al Bernameg", the satirical discourses are revealed as more than just a cartoon and its humor. This study illustrates also how satire can function as resistance to authority by broadening an awareness of the role that humor can play in giving people a voice to resist power, to express criticism, and to begin to work for a better society.

Language is used creatively in satirical discourse programs as Bassem Yousef's "Al Bernameg". Language play in such programs involves imagination, fiction and humorous video clips. Language play is manifested in the parody of the different funny interviews by the men of power and the commentary as well as repetition of their speeches in a humorous style. Satirical techniques as exaggeration, ironies, metaphors and imitation of the performances of the President and government officials as well as reversals cannot be presented in a political satirical talk show without play on words and using of twisting language to be more effective on the target audience. Such programs play a crucial and focal role in societies especially during political crises and represent a new trend in media full of freedom of expression but there must be some control on the expediency of information and material so as to check its credibility and accuracy before airing.

Appendix

List of Symbols of Arabic Phonic Transcription

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Arabic Letter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>&quot;أ&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>&quot;ب&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>&quot;ت&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>&quot;س&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sh</td>
<td>&quot;ش&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>&quot;ح&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>&quot;د&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>&quot;ذ&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>&quot;ر&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&quot;۳&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. The Cooperative Principle
"Make your contribution such as required, at the stage at which it occurs, by the accepted purpose or direction of the talk exchange in which you are engaged." (Grice, 1975:45)

The Maxims
- Quantity: Make your contribution as informative as is required.
- Quality: Do not say what you believe to be false.
- Relation: Be relevant.
- Manner: Avoid obscurity of expression.
- Avoid ambiguity.

3. Fairclough (2003: 26) has delineated three characteristics of discourse which describe its operation within social life, as 'part of the action.' These are:
- Genres (ways of acting)
- Discourses (ways of representing)
- Styles (ways of being)

Genres refer to a particular way of manipulating and framing discourse; examples of genres are church sermons, interviews and political speeches. Genres are significant because they provide a framework for an audience to comprehend discourse, though evidently due to this quality, genres can be the locus of power, domination and resistance. Discourses/representations is crucial in assessing the means by which apparently similar aspects of the world can be appreciated and understood from different perspectives or positions. Finally, 'styles' are the ways in which discourse is used to constitute a sense of being and identity, how identification is located through the application and manner of particular discourses.
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